REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. By this Amendment, claims 11 and 19 are amended. No new matter is introduced in the application.

Claims 11 and 19 are amended to correct antecedent basis problems. Consequently, no new issues are raised that require further search and/or consideration, which would bar entry.

Entry of the amended claims is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the amendments: (1) place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein; (2) do not raise any new issues requiring further search and/or consideration since the amendments amplify issues previously discussed throughout prosecution without incorporating additional subject matter; (3) satisfy a requirement of form asserted in the previous Office Action; and/or (4) place the application in better form for appeal, if necessary. Entry is thus requested.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following.

I.   REPLY TO REJECTION

On page 2, item 2 of the Office Action, claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over a reference to Vazquez et al. (hereinafter "Vazquez"), in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,829,779 to Perlman (hereinafter "Perlman"). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

It is respectfully submitted that Vazquez, and Perlman even when combined, fail to disclose or suggest each and every feature of claims 1-20.

Vazquez is a scholarly article describing the superior nature of a system that provides interactive assembly instructions to assembly line workers. Vazquez discloses a software

interface that uses the standard edition of Microsoft Visual Basic®, Version 4.0, to provide directions and illustrations on assembly instructions, in executable order, at a pace controlled by the operator. The directions and illustrations are used to construct simple constructions using MegaBlocks™ (see, for example, page 562, right column, item 4 of Vazquez).

As disclosed in Vazquez, specific directions to assemble a plurality of MegaBlocks™ are provided by the system in the order needed to assemble the blocks. However, there is no specific disclosure or suggestion in Vazquez that the directions and illustration on assembly operations are applicable to a video apparatus. Further, there is no disclosure or suggestion of whether the directions and illustrations are collected into a user's manual or an instruction manual which have discrete portions. Instead, Vazquez merely discloses that the order of assembling or constructing MegaBlocks™ are provided in the form of directions and illustrations, i.e., raw assembly information.

Perlman discloses systems and method for providing interactive instructions directing a user how to establish interactivity between multiple consumer electronic devices. Perlman does disclose video devices or apparatus and is directed to providing interactive instructions to a consumer. As shown in Fig. 7, connection instructions 214 are displayed on a television 212 but again, the instructions themselves are not a user's manual or an instruction manual. Rather, they are raw assembly information. There is no specific disclosure of how the instructions are presented. Perlman merely discloses that the instructions are presented to instruct the consumer (see, for example, col. 8, lines 35-44 of Perlman). That is, there is no disclosure or suggestion of showing portions of the manual.

In view of the above disclosures of Vazquez and Perlman, it is clear that even when combined, the references, taken alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest each every feature of the claims. For example, the references, taken alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest successively displaying portions of the user's manual corresponding to respective parts of the video apparatus in an initial predetermined display order, as recited in claim 1. The references, taken alone or in combination, also fail to disclose or suggest graphically and sequentially displaying corresponding portions of the instruction manual of a method for connecting the video apparatus to the at least one peripheral apparatus, as recited in claim 7. Further, the references, taken alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest a manual display unit for graphically displaying portions of the manual in a predetermined sequence on the screen of the video apparatus according to the control signal, as recited in claim 13.

To state concisely, Vazquez and Perlman do not disclose or suggest a manual whose portions may be displayed. Both Vazquez and Perlman merely disclose displaying raw assembly information in the form of instructions as needed. These instructions are not in the form of a manual nor are portions of the manual displayed. Further, because of the deficiencies in Vazquez and Perlman, they also do not disclose displaying portions of the manual, successively, sequentially, or in a predetermined sequence, as variously recited in independent claim 1, 7 and 13.

Consequently, claims 1, 7 and 13 are patentable over the applied references and their combination. Claims 2-6 and 19, which depend from claim 1, claims 8-12, and 20, which depend from claim 7, and claims 14-18, which depend from claim 13, are likewise patentable

over the applied references, taken alone or in combination, for at least the reasons discussed above, and for the additional features they recite. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

II.   **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Seth S. Kim**, at the telephone number listed below. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP

Daniel Y.J. Kim, Esq.
Registration No. 36,186
Seth S. Kim, Esq.
Registration No. 54,577

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
(703) 766-3701 DYK:SSK/knv
Date: JUNE 9, 2005

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610